

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

cen

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,441	11/15/2005	Thomas Froehlich	FROH3003 /FJD	6201
23364 BACON & TH	23364 7590 07/06/2007 BACON & THOMAS, PLLC		EXAMINER	
625 SLATERS LANE			PATEL, HARSHAD R	
FOURTH FLOOR ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	Dimitorial, VII 22314		2855	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	,		07/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/531,441	FROEHLICH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Harshad Patel ,	2855			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18     This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ To 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under t	his action is non-final. wance except for formal ma	• •			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 11 and 13-17 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 11 and 13-17 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	lrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to he drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawin	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/531,441 Page 2

Art Unit: 2855

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 6/18/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The argument that Rosswurm teaches away from the instant invention is not persuasive. Russwurm teaches the ultrasonic flowmeter in which the W-shaped and V-shaped paths are displayed. As to the modifications of providing a stepped elevation/depression in Russwurm would be an inherent features simply to direct the path such that it is received at he transducer at a specific location. As to the opening structure for allowing the transmission of the of the ultrasonic wave from the transducer to the receiver, any amount of opening would be a design choice as the waves transmitted to depend on the opening itself such that the opening would permits only the wave based on the opening otherwise if the opening is not wide enough then the wave would not pass through. Moreover, based on the opening of the first location the second location is decided such that the transmitted wave is received to the second location. It is known that multiple waves leaving the first transducer at the first location through the first opening would be reflected from the reflecting surface, but not all would be received by the receiver as they expand based on the opening and similarly after reaching the second opening, only those waves or a single wave would enter the opening to reach the receiver. Thus the transmission and receiving of the wave would depend on the positioning of the transducer, the opening and the position of the receiving transducer. It does not require a specific skill to locate the transducers on the conduit.

#### Claim Objections

2. Claim13 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 13 depends on claim 12, which has been cancelled. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,441 Page 3

Art Unit: 2855

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 11, 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant

regards as the invention.

Claim 11, at line 15, "the opening angle" lacks antecedent basis. It is unclear as to what other system-and/or process parameters (w, cr, c, di) is the applicant referring to.

Claim 14, line 3, "the opening angle" lacks antecedent basis.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 11, 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Russwurm (5,351,560).

Russwurm teaches the device as claimed including an inherent and essential element, the control or evaluation unit. Russwurm does not explicitly describe the control unit, but such an element would be an inherent element in order to process the measured signals. Fig. 1 shows an entire structure of the instant invention and thus the claims as claimed considered anticipated since such a structure would inherently have a large opening for the waves to be transmitted as shown in Fig. 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,441 Page 4

Art Unit: 2855

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Russwurm in view of Fu et al. (4,431,936) (hereinafter Fu).

Russwurm teaches all the features of the instant invention except for the transducers including a piezoelectric element. Fu teaches an ultrasonic transducer having the piezoelectric element. It would be obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a piezoelectric element for a transducer since transducers are notoriously well known as being used as transducers.

#### Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harshad Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-2187. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (6:30 AM-5:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Harshad Patel Primary Examiner Art Unit 2855 Page 5

HP 7/3/07